inevitable. Are we equally unable to look beyond our awfully familiar surroundings and see the world outside? Or can we, as they so painfully did, look at ourselves and the way we feed our infants.

My diagnosis was wrong – these are the familiar mood swings of chronic political and spiritual malnutrition. I fear that whilst we feel unable to address the political and social issues that beset us, the condition will be untreatable.

PETER HINDLEY
St George’s Hospital Medical School
Tooting, London SW17

‘Psychiatric Bulletin’

DEAR SIRS
For some years the Bulletin has been the orphan of the Journal of the Royal College of Psychiatrists. Neglected, unattractive and poorly nourished, it is not surprising that it failed to thrive. There have been occasional achievements; debates on matters such as the political abuse of psychiatry and community treatment orders were pertinent and persuasive, the correspondence columns have an occasional liveliness. Yet the criticism remains that the style of the Bulletin has been pedestrian and the content has rarely reflected the challenges which psychiatry now faces.

The editors must have shared some of these concerns, for the Bulletin has been re-vamped with a more attractive cover. But the layout and typography remain dreary, and the opportunity of re-casting the Bulletin as a stimulating and informative periodical has been lost. One proposal in particular should cause readers great concern.

On the first page of the October 1988 issue, Professor Freeman argues that the Bulletin is a scientific journal and that it will gain in status by increasing its academic content. Furthermore, articles which are accepted by the Journal and yet regarded as of lesser international interest may be relegated to the Bulletin. He vainly asserts that “this does not imply a less favourable view of their quality”.

In the first place, few will share the view of Professor Freeman that the Bulletin is equal in status to the Journal, and that on past performance it is valid to regard it as a scientific publication. Papers appearing in recent issues of the Bulletin have been worthy commentaries and discussions on current themes, but have rarely approached the usual standards of peer-reviewed publishability.

Secondly, the proper function of the Bulletin may be regarded as reporting on aspects of current psychiatric practice, stimulating debate and summarising College news and views. There are many ways in which this mix could be invigorated, such as commissioning articles from lay critics of the profession, and by cultivating a greater awareness of the relationship between psychiatry and the society it seeks to serve. An increase in the number of scientific papers is likely to have the effect of stultifying the Bulletin. Is this what the readership wants?

Lastly, it’s debatable whether the Bulletin should contain any peer-reviewed articles. The natural home for papers which reach the usual standard of scientific publishability is the British Journal of Psychiatry. If, having reached that standard, some papers are then regarded as dealing “primarily with circumstances in the UK or Ireland” and relegated from the Journal to the Bulletin, then this is hardly likely to increase the prestige of either. (It also seems curious that a Journal with “British” in its title has an editorial policy which explicitly rejects some articles derived from research in this country).

These matters should concern many of those interested in the welfare and public presentation of British psychiatry. By rejecting the opportunity for radical change, the editors have decided to present the Bulletin in a style which can only support the views of those who argue that psychiatrists are insular, divorced from the concerns of the community, and unwilling to court critical opinions.

ANDREW JOHNS
St George’s Medical School,
London SW17

Hospital closure – an obituary

DEAR SIRS
Retirement coinciding with closure of a large hospital is a potent brew for nostalgia. Sorting accumulated papers spanning three decades proved to be a lengthy and evocative process, recovering buried memories of brave new ventures and battles lost and won. In Warwick, one had commenced a consultant career by opening a purpose-built child guidance clinic and regrading to informal status several hundred County Mental Hospital patients, all of them compulsorily detained before the 1959 Mental Health Act was implemented. While still there, nearby Coventry celebrated the rebirth of its Cathedral in the ruins of the old with the premiere of Britten’s War Requiem, which I attended.

After a subsequent 25 years in Kent, progressive dilapidation and demolition of its buildings and
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