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Personal experience shows that discrepancies are common when out-patient psychiatric medication records are checked with the information held by a patient’s GP. This could lead to duplicated or conflicting treatment regimes, dangerous drug interactions, abuse of prescribed drugs, and failure to monitor therapeutic drug levels.

This study compares the information held by psychiatrists and GPs about psychiatric outpatients’ consumption of prescribed and unprescribed medication; this information was used to examine the efficacy of communication about these drugs. Prasher et al (1992) showed that 68% of GPs listed medication on referral of 270 people to out-patient departments, while 95% of psychiatrists listed drugs in their reply—drug records were not compared.

The study

A single psychiatric out-patient clinic session for 20 patients was selected, at Barnet, Hertfordshire, a London suburb with a population of 200 000. The records were examined of 16 patients being seen at repeat appointments, of three being seen after re-referral ‘de novo’, and of one patient who did not attend. There were no completely new patients.

Out-patient and general practice medication records and letters were audited for the preceding six months.

Drug information from the hand-written out-patient notes, pharmacy cards (available for 14 of the patients), original GP letter (if any), and the copies of letters sent to the patient’s GP were compared with GP medication records for the same patient. The latter items were obtained by telephone and in one case an additional letter to the patient’s GP.

Every patient’s GP or GP partner was contacted; medication details of ten patients were held by the GP on computer.

All references to prescribed or unprescribed drugs, with most recent dosage, and entries of ‘no medication’ were noted. Changes to medication that session were not included.

Findings

There were 11 women (aged 40–70 years) and nine men (aged 30–81 years). Twelve had recent principal diagnoses of depressive illness, four of anxiety neurosis and one each of alcohol dependence, schizophrenia, gender dysphoria and specific learning difficulties.

All 19 medicated patients had drug usage recorded in their notes and all had clinic letters to their GP. The unmedicated patient was recorded as such by both parties. One patient was excluded as the GP was unable to supply a medication history.

Drugs omitted by either one or both parties numbered 41, from a total number prescribed of 58. Psychiatrists omitted 29 completely in both notes and letters. Their omissions from clinic letters totalled 35 and from written notes 32, affecting 38 prescriptions for 12 patients.

It was not possible to ascertain how many drugs were omitted from all three records, as patients were not interviewed.

The psychiatrist’s medication records for one patient omitted 16 out of 17 prescribed drugs. One of those omitted was a psychotropic drug (a benzodiazepine); the monoamine oxidase inhibitor (MAOI) was recorded.

Non-psychotropic medication dominated the psychiatrists’ remaining omissions. Eleven other patients had six or fewer omissions, involving 22 different drugs of which only four were psychotropics (a serotonergic and a tricyclic antidepressant, a major tranquiliser and another benzodiazepine). Thus non-psychotropics represented 33 of 38 psychiatrists’ omissions: aspirin, four NSAID (non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug) tablets and two NSAID gels, thyroxine, a H₂ receptor antagonist, five paracetamol-based analgesics, an antihypertensive, a thiazide diuretic, an antiepileptic, diphenoxylate, four antibiotics, steroid and salbutamol inhalers, a smooth-muscle antispasmodic, folate, iron, two vitamin tablets, steroid cream, emollient cream, a stool softener, and an influenza vaccine.

GPs omitted ten out of 58 drugs, all known to the psychiatrists, involving eight patients, two subjects...
Comment

Of great concern is the finding that, of 58 drug prescriptions, 41 were omitted from the records of either psychiatrist or GP, 33 of which were for non-psychotropic drugs.

Of the 17 drugs known to both parties there was still missing dosage information in ten.

Of the 33 omissions of non-psychotropic medications, psychiatrists missed 27 entirely, and the six remaining in either letters to the GP or in their own notes. This bias may reflect a tendency by both patients and doctors to favour attention to the area of illness (and therefore treatment) for which advice is being sought and for the psychiatrist to perhaps believe much non-psychotropic medication is of limited relevance to their practice.

An important potential adverse drug interaction is between lithium and NSAIDs/aspirin, and yet the prescription of NSAIDs/aspirin was omitted seven times, in the notes of four patients. Such drugs may also be purchased over the counter, unknown to either doctor. Other noteworthy interactions with lithium include diuretics, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and calcium channel blockers.

Only eight out of 25 psychotropic drugs which may reflect a mutually perceived area of special expertise in the clinic. Importantly, both lithium records were free of omissions, as is consistent with emphasis laid on its careful control.

Possible interventions might include the use of a 'shared care card' (Essex; 1990) or mailed postcards to patients reminding them to bring medication to a clinic (Penner; 1991) A larger study using patient interviews could include closer examination of drug recording in relation to clinic specialty and to patient compliance.

In the interests of patient safety, care should be taken by specialists to enquire about medication not obviously related to his or her area of expertise.
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