

Court Reports

Sir: During the last six years I have been a member of the Parole Board and thus a large number of prisoners' parole dossiers have passed through my hands. In a proportion of these cases psychiatric reports must have been available at the time of sentencing and yet they probably are never used beyond the Court hearing.

The position with regard to psychiatric reports is this: if there is a discretionary or mandatory life sentence, the Judge sends all the reports in his possession to the Home Office; such reports will then be quoted in the dossier or appear in full. However, where there is no life sentence but a period of years, the reports go no further than the Court. With more sentence planning being undertaken these latter reports could be of value to the prison; undoubtedly they are of value when the Parole Board are deciding whether to release or giving advice to the Home Office (the Parole Board has power to release between 4 and 7 years).

In recent years, when appropriate, I have taken to finishing my defence reports with an impartial sounding sentence along the following lines: "In the event of X being made subject to a probation order, it would be of value if my report could be passed to the Probation Service. On the other hand if the Court decide a term of imprisonment is appropriate, then it would be of value if the defence solicitors, after consulting their client, could send a copy of this report to the Prison Medical Officer and also a copy to the Prison Welfare Officer (Probation Officer)".

Colleagues writing reports who do not already do this might consider it worthwhile to insert some similar sentence at the end of their reports.

I accept the reports may not always follow the prisoner but I know from my experience they do sometimes. Our profession has an important part to play in making the system work, particularly for life sentence prisoners who are beyond tariff. Deterrence and retribution have then been satisfied and the issue is solely dangerousness. I would remind colleagues who are just starting out and writing such court reports to remember that they are not only writing their report for use in Court, but also for the benefit of those, who, many years later, have to address the question of safety to release.

I should add this letter is not addressed solely to forensic psychiatrists. One of the best reports that I have seen in the last year was

written by a general psychiatrist with great clinical experience; members of the Parole Board praised the report for its valuable and useful insights. I regret to say that the worst report I have read in the last year was written by a forensic psychiatrist!

ROBERT REEVES, *Fromside Clinic, Blackberry Hill, Stapleton, Bristol BS16 1ED*

The safest antidepressant(s) in epilepsy

Sir: This is a subject that defies a simple straightforward response, thus, the clarification by Duncan & Taylor (*Psychiatric Bulletin*, 1995, 19, 355-357) is most welcome. In the absence of double blind trial, information is largely empirical, gathered from clinical practice and the pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic properties of individual antidepressants.

The findings so far are sometimes conflicting and at best, broad guidelines are given to assist in determining the choice of antidepressant for an epileptic. The situation is further compounded by the fact that patients often take more than one anticonvulsant and are on other psychotropic medication as well, with the potential of drug interactions that are highly variable and unpredictable.

In the *Psychotropic Drug Directory* (Bazire, 1995), amoxapine and maprotiline are listed as constituting high risk potential for seizures. There is a consensus about the high risk of these two antidepressants and the fact that MAOIs are probably the safest. In quoting Rosenstein *et al* (1993) and Showron & Stimmel (1992) and in their summary, the authors mention fluoxetine first as antidepressant of choice in terms of relative safety in epilepsy. While I am convinced that this is an alphabetical rather than a safety rating, I think it is pertinent to note that, according to the *Psychotropic Drug Directory*, fluoxetine has been reported to be associated with more seizures than other SSRIs. The authors also state that trazodone and sertraline may be less likely to interact with anticonvulsants. May I also point out that, according to manufacturer's information, paroxetine also does not interact with anticonvulsants.

Thus, each patient with epilepsy requires an individualised assessment and close monitoring regarding all relevant issues mentioned in the paper, recognising that there is a dose-dependent relationship between antidepressant drugs and seizures, and also taking into

BJPsych Bulletin

Court Reports

Robert Reeves

Psychiatric Bulletin 1995, 19:706.

Access the most recent version at DOI: [10.1192/pb.19.11.706](https://doi.org/10.1192/pb.19.11.706)

References

This article cites 0 articles, 0 of which you can access for free at:
<http://pb.rcpsych.org/content/19/11/706.1.citation#BIBL>

Reprints/ permissions

To obtain reprints or permission to reproduce material from this paper, please write to permissions@rcpsych.ac.uk

You can respond to this article at

</letters/submit/pbrcpsych;19/11/706>

Downloaded from

<http://pb.rcpsych.org/> on February 20, 2018
Published by The Royal College of Psychiatrists
