The role of psychiatry in genetic prediction programmes for Huntington’s disease
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Psychiatrists are becoming increasingly involved in the assessment of candidates for genetic testing for Huntington’s disease (HD) in which their role ranges from diagnosing conventional psychiatric disorder to identifying ‘new odd behaviours’. They are also expected to predict dysfunctional response to test results (e.g. self-harm). This paper describes the psychiatric component of the Cambridge HD Clinic and its assessment protocols. Practical concepts, useful to the understanding of the clinical problems that may be encountered, are also discussed.

Psychiatric disorder is a central clinical component of Huntington’s disease (HD) and psychiatrists are involved in its management (Roccatagliata, 1979; Folstein, 1989; Harper, 1991; Watt & Seller, 1993). More recently, new opportunities have become available for psychiatric intervention. Programmes for pre-symptomatic testing for HD (Tyler et al., 1992) have since 1983 required help with prediction and management of dysfunctional reactions to test results (e.g. suicidal behaviour), diagnosis and management of conventional psychiatric disorder, and research. More recently, psychiatrists are also being offered the opportunity of becoming members of newly-formed ‘brain repair teams’ where they will be expected to help with the assessment, selection, and preparation of patients for cell transplantation, and also act as stress specialists. It seems clear that such demands may challenge traditional psychiatric skills, concepts, and measuring instruments. This short paper deals only with psychiatric intervention in pre-symptomatic testing which can be clinical or research-related (Fig. 1).

### Clinical interventions

Clinical involvement concerns the prediction of post-test negative reaction and the diagnosis and management of psychiatric disorder in 50% risk candidates. The former concerns the search for predictors (in mental state, personality, past history, etc.) of dysfunctional outcome to positive or negative test results (Martindale, 1987). In most cases, such indicators must be detected in subjects who can be described as ‘normal’ from the psychiatric point of view. There is not yet much evidence that traditional indicators such as impulsivity, intro-punitiveness, tendency to depression, and previous suicidal attempts are of particular use in this field.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Cambridge HD Clinic: computerised psychiatric assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>General</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Health Questionnaire: GHQ*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSM-III-R diagnosis (MINISCID)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insight Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personality Deviance Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Affective disorders</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton Depression Scale (observer rated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profile of Mood States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irritability Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mania Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beck Depression Inventory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cognitive</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal Detection Memory Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metamemory Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive Failures Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neurotic</strong> behaviour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsessions interference/resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsessive-compulsive disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypochondria Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zung Anxiety Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phobias Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissociative Behaviours Questionnaire</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Core battery
After genetic testing, candidates fall into a carrier or a non-carrier category. From the psychiatric viewpoint, the former may be asymptomatic, have conventional (ICD-10) psychiatric disease, or show 'odd behaviours' which do not fit into any ICD-10 category (This group will be discussed later). Conventional psychiatric disease in carriers may be theoretically divided according to whether it is related to HD or 'coincidental' (e.g. major depression may be a chance association of HD). In practice, this separation is difficult to make but the distinction remains important from the clinical and research viewpoints. The group in whom psychiatry and HD are related can in turn be (theoretically) divided according to whether the psychiatric disorder is dependent upon the specific changes of HD or is 'reactive' (e.g. brought about by a pathological HD environment). Non-carriers can be also psychiatrically normal or abnormal, and the latter sub-divided into those whose disorder is 'reactive' to being brought up in a HD environment and those whose mental disorder is but a chance association.

**Assessments**

The assessment of pre-symptomatic subjects is carried out in the conventional way and should lead when applicable to an ICD–10 diagnosis. In the Cambridge HD clinic the procedure lasts about 90 minutes, roughly divided into 40 minutes for the interview, 40 for computerised testing, and about 10 to interview relatives. Computerised testing is carried out on personal computers distributed at various testing points (including an outpost in Norwich). The tests are computerised in Q-language and the battery of 20 questionnaires and tests includes the SCID which leads to a DSM-III-R diagnosis (Table 1; core tests are starred). The same set is used for the evaluation of all neuropsychiatric and general patients, and also in the Cambridge Memory Disorders Clinic and Sleep Disorders Clinic so that a considerable amount of data has accumulated. On the same day, candidates attending the Cambridge HD Clinic also undergo full neuropsychological and...
neurological assessment, and assessors are blind to each other’s findings. Efforts are being made at the moment to develop a common assessment protocol to all predictive programmes in the UK and in the European community.

It is hoped that the psychiatrist would also play a role in the analysis and resolution of the ethical issues that may arise in genetic testing. The general principles governing this field have been well studied (Mastromauro et al., 1987; Smurl & Weaver, 1987; Kessler et al., 1987; Meissen & Berchek, 1987; Shaw, 1987; Ever-Kiebooms et al., 1987) but new problems always emerge. As the member of the HD with expertise in the analysis of human relationships, the psychiatrist’s contribution should be particularly illuminating. At a more practical level, good ethical management also includes the treatment of dysfunctional reactions in testees and the analysis of tensions affecting the psychodynamics of the HD team itself.

Research
Research in the field of presymptomatic testing raises the question of whether the conventional assessment techniques and instruments are adequate (i.e. sensitive and valid) enough. The answer to this will depend on the objectives of the research (i.e. the type of 'caseness' it requires). For example, if it is to establish the prevalence of conventional psychiatric disorder then the usual approach may suffice; even then the fact that reports of rates of psychiatric morbidity in HD range from 25 to 80% (Harper, 1991) seems to suggest that the conventional approach is not working well. If, however, the objective of the research is to find new behavioural (or other) markers of gene-carrying status (in apparently asymptomatic subjects) then the conventional assessments will be singularly unhelpful.

For example, it has been suggested that gene carriers show since childhood subtle oddities of behaviour. A mother may say that an HD patient was behaviourally ‘different’ and that she ‘knew’ that he/she was going to develop the disease. Such claims can, of course, be rejected as retrospective falsification, but it is also possible that they do reflect some real change. Whatever this change might be, it will need to be described anew as it is likely to differ from any conventional ‘symptom’.

The fact that such odd behaviours have not yet been fully described can be explained in a number of ways.

(a) They may be faint conventional symptoms and hence not within the resolution power (sensitivity) of conventional instruments.

(b) They may be forms of experience or behaviour which are different in quality to conventional symptoms and hence are difficult to describe: for example, it is not uncommon for subjects suffering from neurological disorder to report strange experiences for which the psychiatrist has no name; these reports may be ignored altogether or forced into a known category (Berrios & Samuel, 1987).

(c) Such changes in behaviour may be difficult to capture on account of the rate at which they develop: the slower the installation of a new behaviour or experience the higher the chance that it will be missed out altogether.

(d) It could be that what is abnormal is the temporal organisation of behaviour (this is a hypothesis in which we are particularly interested): for instance, an impression of odd behaviour may not be caused by oddity attached to any single behavioural episode but by dislocations of the longitudinal coherence or integration of 'normal' behavioural episodes. Since the mental state examination is not principally geared to capturing longitudinal behaviour, such information can be missed out altogether. The child’s mother, however, has privileged access to long-term observation and can notice subtle dislocations even if she lacks the appropriate language of description.

(e) Oddities of behaviour may only become manifest in certain social contexts (e.g. home) or triggered by other behaviours.

Summary and conclusions
Subjects with a 50% risk of developing HD may or may not show conventional psychiatric disorder. Those with higher risk may also exhibit abnormal behaviours (expression of the HD gene) which do not amount to conventional psychiatric illness and resist easy description. The description and
measurement of such 'symptoms' requires
imaginative mapping of behaviour both in the
cross-section and longitudinally. The first
step, however, should be to record
conventional symptoms (not diseases) on
multi-dimensional scales (e.g. irritability,
fatigue, intro- and extra-punitiveness,
self-personalisation-like experiences, increases
in vague somatic complaints, impulsivity,
dissociation between spontaneous and
reactive behaviours, etc.) so that more
sensitivity and fine-grain can be achieved.
General instruments such as the AMDP (Guy & Ban, 1982) are based on an 'open' view of
psychopathology and are particularly useful in
this regard. Individual scales for symptoms (as
opposed to diseases) are also beginning to
appear. In addition, an attentive listening to
the descriptions and experiences of testees
should help to identify some of these new
'symptoms'. The longitudinal mapping of
behaviour is even harder but may prove to be
the only way of eventually finding specific
psychopathological markers for HD.
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