cause of uncertainty who accepts this responsibility.

Crichton & Townsend (Psychiatric Bulletin, 1994, 18, 176) draw attention to two important issues again highlighted by Prettyman (Psychiatric Bulletin 1994, 18, 508).

(a) The Code of Practice suggests that only consultant psychiatrists should nominate deputies. Is it then sufficient to say that in the non-psychiatric setting consultants can nominate deputies?

From personal experiences this question has created problems between consultants and junior doctors.

(b) Prettyman (Psychiatric Bulletin, 18, 508) states that Paragraph 8.6 of the Code of Practice seems to indicate that, on non-psychiatric wards, an individual seen by a junior psychiatrist is, for the purpose of the Mental Health Act, a "psychiatric patient and the (duty) Consultant Psychiatrist is the responsible doctor".

I feel this is a wrong interpretation and that the nominated deputy is the junior doctor of the consultant who is responsible for whatever ward the patient is on.

HAKEEM KAZEEM, Mossley Hill Hospital, Park Avenue, Liverpool L18 8BU

Antidepressant prescribing – are doses really that important?

Sir: In the article on antidepressant prescribing by Robert J. Thompson (Psychiatric Bulletin, 1994, 18, 461–462), he concluded that tricyclic antidepressants were prescribed in inadequate doses by primary health care practitioners.

We would like to share the results of an audit carried out in the South Borough of Solihull which has a population of 115,000. We studied antidepressant prescribing by general practitioners (GPs). All referrals were studied over a one month period, October–November 1994. There were a total of 74 referrals; 26 patients (35% of all the referrals) had been commenced on antidepressants by their GPs. Of these, 15 (56%) were SSRIs and 11 (44%) were tricyclics. In our study, GPs were significantly \( P<0.001, \chi^2 = 25.8, \text{d.f.} = 1 \) more likely to prescribe SSRIs when compared to that in Thompson’s study. If the trend towards prescribing SSRIs continues, then the conclusion made by Thompson may not be so important, as the starting dose for SSRIs is also the recommended effective treatment dose.

*ROBIN GONZAGA, NICK S. BROWN and PRakash C. NAiK, Solihull Healthcare NHS Trust, Mental Health Services, Solihull Hospital, Solihull, West Midlands B912 2JL

**Correspondence**

General practitioner awareness of learning disabilities

Sir: Bernard & Bates (Psychiatric Bulletin, 1994, 18, 205–206) suggest that considerable confusion exists among general practitioners regarding the role of the psychiatrist in learning disability. Sandwell has a population of approximately 300,000 people and has two consultants, several junior medical staff and four community learning disability teams (CLDT) offering services comparable to those in Bromley. In the past two years, there have been several GP awareness seminars on learning disabilities with presentations from members of the multidisciplinary teams.

Prior to the most recent meeting, a questionnaire was distributed to the 14 GPs in attendance to gather information on GPs’ perception of the learning disability psychiatrist. Eleven (79%) had heard of the CLDT compared with 23% of the Bromley sample. Seven had received training in learning disability, again considerably higher than the Bromley sample. Thirteen of the GPs were aware that they had patients with learning disabilities on their lists.

The Sandwell GPs appeared to have more understanding of the role of the psychiatrist and in turn their own role, i.e. to provide general medical care for people with learning disabilities, with only one respondent suggesting that the psychiatrist should perform this task.

This admittedly small survey suggests that GP awareness seminars and other educational events can enhance GP knowledge on the subject of learning disabilities thereby ensuring that patients receive appropriate care. While GPs who attend such seminars may have pre-existing knowledge and expertise, this is an area worthy of further evaluation. We are currently producing an information booklet for GPs to provide details...
CORRESPONDENCE

of learning disability services in Sandwell and how they can be accessed.

GERALDINE CASSIDY, MEERA ROY and THOMAS MLELE, Sandwell Mental Health Service, Newton House Community Unit, 20 Heath Lane, West Bromwich B71 2BD

Publication of MRCpsych examination results

Sir: I passed the MRCpsych Part I examination held in Autumn 1994 and would like to mention certain doubts which were commonly expressed by the candidates.

First, we are at a loss to understand why it takes the College as long as four weeks to publish the results, particularly since fellow associations like the Royal College of Surgeons, and the Royal College of Physicians are far quicker with their results, with a far larger pool of candidates. The MCQ Paper probably gets corrected by the computer in a matter of days. The clinical part, according to general opinion, gets decided on that day itself, or at the very latest, by the next day. One can understand the delay in publishing the results of the Part II examination, since that involves essay type questions and short answer questions. However, regarding the Part I, one fails to comprehend the reason for the delay. Secondly, I went to a revision course before the examination, where we were told that for the clinical examination, according to College guidelines, separate marks were allotted respectively for history, mental state examination, physical examination, interviewing in front of the examiners, differential diagnosis, aetiology, and investigations. However, in the examination proper, I found that I was not asked a single question about either aetiology or investigations. This obviously led to a lot of soul-searching on my part as to where I had gone wrong. Many candidates had a similar experience and had to wait a month in suspense before their doubts were resolved.

The Membership examination is a stressful experience and the mental state of candidates could be improved, both during and after the examination if the College published the results earlier, and also ensured that its guidelines are enforced more strictly.

PYAL SEN, North West London Mental Health NHS Trust, Central Middlesex Hospital, Acton Lane, London NW10

Working with young offenders: a contribution to forensic training in child psychiatry

Sir: Specialist forensic training posts in child psychiatry are on the increase. However, in a survey of all child and adolescent psychiatry training schemes in the United Kingdom, Reder & Lucey (1990) found that training with regard to young offenders was virtually absent. The rise in juvenile crime rates together with a contraction in services equipped to deal with antisocial young people, has led to increasing concern among psychiatrists about the health of young offenders: there are particular concerns about suicides among young offenders and about a core group of recidivist offenders.

There is therefore a clear need for specialist training placements which include involvement with young offenders. One such placement exists in North West Thames. The post is equally divided between a children and families department and the regional forensic out-patient department and includes an attachment to Feltham Young Offenders Institution (YOI) for one session of consultation per fortnight.

The placement offers many unique opportunities. First, the modes of presentation of disorders and the difficulties faced in treating them can be seen. Secondly, an insight into the lives of young offenders is gained, which provides a valuable understanding of the routes they have followed into crime and into prison. Finally, the trainee begins to comprehend the influence of a prison environment on prisoners and on therapeutic relationships through the experience of the establishment and through knowledge of a different government department, namely the Home Office.

These experiences are an invaluable assistance in carrying out individual assessments of young offenders for the courts, by helping one think about the impact of prison life on young people, and the level of psychiatric disturbance that can be managed effectively in prison. In addition, the knowledge and skills obtained are particularly relevant when considering consultation work with prison professionals.

In view of the increasing role of child and adolescent psychiatrists in the assessment and management of young people who commit crimes, this area of training can no
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