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The British National Formulary (BNF) entry for clozapine clearly distinguishes the treatment dose (200–450 mg daily, maximum 900 mg) from the maintenance dose (150–300 mg daily). We looked at 44 patients maintained on clozapine locally and found that 36 (82%) received doses above the BNF guidelines. This has considerable cost implications. While it is always good practice to maintain patients on the lowest possible dose of antipsychotic, the BNF guidelines are not based on objective outcome data, and should be treated cautiously.

Clozapine is an atypical antipsychotic drug which has been shown to be effective in up to two-thirds of patients with otherwise treatment resistant schizophrenia (Kane, 1992). Extrapyramidal side-effects (EPSEs) with clozapine are rare, and for this reason, it is also indicated in those patients who have developed intolerable motor side-effects with conventional neuroleptics. The major side-effect associated with clozapine is agranulocytosis and the associated compulsory haematological monitoring contributes to clozapine’s high cost. While agranulocytosis is not dose related (Gerson & Meltzer, 1992), other troublesome side-effects are likely to be. These include seizures (Devinsky et al, 1991), sedation, salivation, constipation (Lieberman & Safferman, 1992) and weight gain (Paton & Wolfson, 1996). Dose related side-effects, by definition, can be minimised by treating patients with the lowest effective dose and the British National Formulary (BNF: Number 33 (March 1997)) guidelines clearly differentiate between the higher doses (200–450 mg daily, maximum 900 mg) required initially to stabilise mental state, and the lower doses (150–300 mg daily) required subsequently for maintenance treatment.

We sought to determine the extent to which these guidelines were followed locally by observing the maintenance doses prescribed and whether efforts had been made to establish the lowest effective maintenance dose for each patient.

The study

All patients who had been treated with clozapine continuously for at least six months, and received their medication from Bexley Hospital pharmacy were included in the study (six months was considered to be the minimum acceptable time for a response to treatment and subsequent attempt at dosage reduction towards a minimum maintenance dose to have occurred).

The age, gender, ethnicity, duration of clozapine treatment, highest dose received and current prescribed dose were recorded for each patient. The dosage of clozapine received over time was examined for each patient and all attempts at dosage reduction recorded. The data were obtained primarily from the Clozaril Patient Monitoring Service (CPMS) pharmacy computer link, with case notes being used to complete any gaps.

Findings

Fifty-one patients had received clozapine for six months or more. Three were considered to have complied poorly with prescribed treatment (clear reference was made to this in their case notes), and inadequate information was available for a further four patients (old medicine cards were missing and poor documentation of doses in case notes), leaving a final study population of 44.

Patients ranged from 21 to 62 years (mean 38) and 35 were male and nine female. Breakdown on the basis of ethnic origin identified 35 Caucasian, four Afro-Caribbean, three Asian and two individuals of mixed race. Patients had been prescribed clozapine for between seven and 69 months (mean 38 months).

The highest treatment dose prescribed ranged from 300 mg to 900 mg per day (mean 568 mg, s.d. 120 mg), with half the population being stabilised on 500 mg per day or less. Only one patient received the maximum permitted daily dose of 900 mg. Current (maintenance) doses of clozapine ranged from 150 mg to 750 mg/day (mean 460 mg, s.d. 104 mg). Eight (18%) patients were prescribed maintenance doses of between 150 and 300 mg/day (within BNF guidelines). The remaining 36 (82%) received doses above the BNF recommended upper limit of 300 mg/day. Twenty-five (57%) patients were maintained on doses of 400–500 mg/day.

Dosage reductions had been achieved in 29 (66%) patients and these ranged from 25 mg to 500 mg/day (mean 108 mg, median 100 mg). No attempt at dosage reduction had been made for
The mean clozapine dose in our study was £47 000/year, a considerable sum. Fifty per cent £260/month for the average maintenance dose associated with considerable financial implications; prescribing at the upper limit of the recommended maintenance dosage range (300 mg/day) costs £170/month, compared to £260/month for the average maintenance dose prescribed in our study. For the 44 patients locally, the cost differential would be over £47 000/year, a considerable sum. Fifty per cent more patients could be treated for the same cost if BNF recommendations were followed. Treating more patients from within existing resources would have major public health implications as clozapine not only has superior efficacy and a favourable neurological side-effect profile when compared with conventional antipsychotics, it has also been shown to reduce suicidality (Meltzer & Okayu, 1995) and aggression (Special Hospitals' Treatment Resistant Schizophrenia Research Group, 1996) and be more acceptable to patients than other treatments (Wolfson & Paton, 1996).

Eight different prescribers were involved, so the question arises as to why they all use maintenance doses higher than those recommended in the BNF. The origins of the BNF guidelines should be considered at this point. They are derived not from double-blind randomised controlled trials, but from the manufacturer's data sheet. The information contained on the data sheet is empirical and thought to represent best clinical practice. There is no objective data to support it. The CPMS also collects dosage data via laboratory haematology request forms. Such information relies on the accurate completion of request forms and is not linked in any way to clinical outcome. The CPMS database in our sample contained many obvious discrepancies. We had to search through case notes to obtain accurate prescribing information and found that, for over two-thirds of the patients involved, the CPMS information was not in line with hospital prescription records. In some cases the difference in dose was several hundred milligrams.

Consideration of the expanding literature regarding serum clozapine levels and clinical effect (Taylor & Duncan, 1995) is also required at this point. Serum levels above 0.35 mg/l are associated with optimal clinical response (Perry et al, 1991), but there would appear to be little relationship between clozapine dose and serum level. It must, therefore, follow that the clinically effective dose will vary widely between individuals.

One-third of patients in our study had not experienced any reduction in dose. It is interesting to note that this is the same proportion of patients who are considered to be non-responders to clozapine. While it is possible that dosage reduction has simply not been considered in this group of patients, or that prescribers were unaware of the guidelines contained within the BNF, it is also possible that this patient group might have fared better with dosage increases, but dose related side-effects prevented this approach.

The BNF is considered to be a reference source with regards to 'evidence based' prescribing, but the clozapine maintenance doses quoted are open to questioning on several counts. In our sample of patients treated with clozapine for six months or more, dosage reduction from the initial treatment dose had not been attempted in one-third and over three-quarters received doses above the recommended maintenance dose quoted in the BNF. While efforts should always be made to maintain patients on the lowest possible dose of any antipsychotic, the guidelines contained within the BNF should not be accepted without question.
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