Sexual assaults in psychiatric in-patient units

The importance of a consistent approach

AIMS AND METHOD
In order to establish whether there is consistency in the management of sexual assault allegations in a psychiatric in-patient unit, and to assess quality of data recording, a manual search of 177 case notes included in an audit project, carried out between October 1997 and May 1999 was carried out. Each recorded allegation was noted on a standard form.

RESULTS
There was little consistency between cases, and data recording was patchy.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS
There is no accurate method of recording or monitoring alleged sexual assault in the trust studied. In spite of a policy document, these cases are dealt with ad hoc.

Women throughout the country are still admitted to psychiatric wards with mixed-gender living space. They should be able to expect their safety, privacy and dignity to be given a high priority by the trust and staff with whom they are in contact. However, sexual assault in psychiatric institutions is an issue of perennial concern (Garth, 1989; Tonks, 1992; Barlow & Wolfson, 1997). It is known that in psychiatric populations, childhood sexual abuse is associated with sexual and physical assault as an adult (Lipschitz et al, 1996). A 1995 study showed that 33% of women in-patients had experienced unwanted sexual comments or molestation although the majority did not report their experiences to staff (Thomas et al, 1995). This paper discusses the appropriate staff response to patients that do report assault.

Draft policies on preventing and responding to sexual assault in in-patient wards were published in 1993 (Subotsky, 1993). This was followed in 1996 by a policy document from the Royal College of Psychiatrists on sexual abuse in psychiatric settings (Royal College of Psychiatrists, 1996). It recommends that a clear policy for dealing with allegations should be a prerequisite and that staff should be properly trained in implementing the policy. It also recommends that adequate procedures must be in place to ensure that incidents are not being 'hushed up' and observes that a regular review of staff attitudes is important. It notes that it may be easy to dismiss patients' complaints of sexual abuse or harassment, regarding them as delusions or exaggerated fears, and that the only way to minimise the occurrence of these acts in psychiatric settings is to deal with them appropriately. Local trust policy makes it clear that staff have a duty to report incidents 'in-house' and ensure that the service user is supported appropriately. Although both the College document and trust guidelines recommend staff training, neither specifies quantity, content or who should deliver it.

The NHS framework for mental health (Department of Health, 1999) acknowledges that while social and therapeutic activities will usually be mixed, there is an imperative both to ensure that single-gender day space is always provided and to achieve Patient’s Charter standards for segregated sleeping and toilet facilities across the NHS. The NHS plan (Department of Health, 2000) recognises that mental health services are not always sensitive to the needs of women, and states that by 2004 there will be women-only day centres in every health authority.

This discussion paper examines how much of the advice in these documents has been translated into practice in one particular case series. It highlights the sensitive issues surrounding the management of sexual assault on in-patient units and argues that a consistent approach to all assaults needs to be taken. It also examines how staff dealt with allegations in a series of cases and discusses some of the pitfalls of a varied response.

Some of the current approaches to managing sexual assault are illustrated by the cases in Table 1. This gives details of six sexual assaults that were recorded in the medical notes of an urban psychiatric in-patient unit between October 1997 and May 1999. Four of the cases listed in Table 1 represent a subset of 177 cases collected when auditing the medical notes for a related project (Cole, 2000) in which all the admission notes of women between 16 and 45 years old were scrutinised. This subset was examined with the aim of establishing whether there was consistency in management of allegations of sexual assault in the unit surveyed. Two further cases were identified for the periods between audit loops by a limited search of the incident forms, but there were difficulties accessing these data, which are discussed later.

In each case, Table 1 records verbatim the entries in the medical and nursing notes concerning the assault. It also records what else was done, such as adverse incident reporting or communicating with the general practitioner (GP), and what practical steps were taken in the aftermath of the assault.

Inadequate recording of data
Data on the frequency and seriousness of assaults upon patients in their care were not available to managers in this trust. Adverse incident forms were not completed uniformly and a systems failure meant that none of those that had been completed before April 1999 could be
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>MHA status</th>
<th>Medical records account</th>
<th>Nursing records account</th>
<th>Adverse incident form completed</th>
<th>Police informed</th>
<th>Assailant named in medical records</th>
<th>Assault mentioned in discharge letter</th>
<th>STD, pregnancy and other diagnostic tests</th>
<th>Observations change</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Depressive disorder F33.2</td>
<td>Section 2</td>
<td>Man put arm round her, across her waist with his head in a kissing position while patient on the way to the toilet. Let go when patient shouted for help</td>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Increased to every 30 minutes</td>
<td>Increase in medication (olanzapine). Discussion with husband about management of vulnerable people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Bipolar affective disorder, Currently depressed F33.3</td>
<td>Informal</td>
<td>Discharged gentleman tried to kiss and harass her</td>
<td>Ward received call from security regarding a male patient pushing himself on female patient</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Patient advised to put complaint in writing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Recurrent depressive disorder, Borderline personality F33.1 F60.31</td>
<td>Informal</td>
<td>Another patient tried to touch breasts and tried to kiss her</td>
<td>Assailed by (named patient). Touched her breasts. Placed his hand on bottom. Placed his hands between her legs. Attempted to kiss her</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Increased to close observations</td>
<td>Rights explored with patient. Police contacted on her behalf. Duty doctor contacted. Bleep holder contacted. Patient reassured staff would try and stop this happening again. Assailant charged by police. Vaginal and rectal examinations carried out at patient’s request by female psychiatrist. Nothing abnormal found. When required haloperidol given. Patient’s parents include incident in complaint to hospital managers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Bipolar affective disorder. Currently manic F33.1</td>
<td>Informal</td>
<td>Date 1: claims to have been sexually assaulted in bed but ‘too doped’ to know by who Date 2: alleged forced and intercourse following previous consensual intercourse</td>
<td>Patient requested HIV testing. Advised to discuss with doctor</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Positive pregnancy test done by general practitioner</td>
<td>Increased to close observations in day and one-to-one at night</td>
<td>Rights explored with patient. Police contacted on her behalf. Duty doctor contacted. Bleep holder contacted. Patient reassured staff would try and stop this happening again. Assailant charged by police. Vaginal and rectal examinations carried out at patient’s request by female psychiatrist. Nothing abnormal found. When required haloperidol given. Patient’s parents include incident in complaint to hospital managers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F*</td>
<td>Depressive disorder F33.2</td>
<td>Informal</td>
<td>Was harassed by another patient</td>
<td>Named patient sexually assaulted her, following her to the bathroom and bedroom. Pushed her against wall and kissed her. Confirmed by another patient. Patient wanted to make formal complaint but not well enough to put this in writing</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Victim transferred to another ward. Detained under section 3, 25 days later</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M*</td>
<td>Schizophrenia F20</td>
<td>Informal</td>
<td>Accused another male patient of sexual assault</td>
<td>Sexually assaulted by named patient. Informed of his rights to report to the police</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Victim transferred to another ward. Detained under section 3, 25 days later</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MHA, Mental Health Act 1983; STD, sexually transmitted disease.
* Case from search of incident forms.
sensitive issue. It would be easy for the patient to misinterpret these actions as punitive, especially if procedural methods of demonstrating belief, such as completing an adverse incident form or discussing police involvement, are not performed at the same time. One patient, whose assault was witnessed by another, took her own discharge the next day and it may be that this decision was motivated by fear. Although she wished to make a complaint, it seems she was thwarted by her disturbed mental state and lack of someone to help her with this task.

Recommendations and limitations

The small number of cases in this series limits the generalisation of the conclusions about their management. The four cases found by examining case notes may be considered as representative, but they give no indication about management of alleged assaults that are not recorded in medical case notes. The two additional cases had already passed a threshold of sufficient concern to trigger completion of an incident form. However, the difficulty in collecting data on these cases, either across time or the whole patient group, highlights the ease with which assaults may be swept, if not under the carpet, then into the pages of handwritten continuation notes. National and local policies have not been put into practice in the management of these cases.

From consideration of this series, it is recommended that a minimum adequate response would be the completion of adverse incident forms; naming the alleged assailant in written records; mentioning the assault in the discharge summary and reporting to line managers. A specially designed incident form (above the requirements of the NHS plan), including time and description of the assault, and name of the alleged assailant and witnesses, could help clarify what is expected of staff in this situation. Without exception, this should be completed when there is an allegation of rape or sexual assault. Tick-boxes may be used to confirm that the relevant people had been contacted. Annual review of these forms may highlight weaknesses in layout or ward routine. Repeated allegations against the same person should be brought to the attention of those responsible for their care. This would enable rates and assault type to be monitored. The extent of the problem may then be assessed and protective interventions audited. Patients should feel safe on psychiatric wards, and any patient who has been frightened, injured or is at risk from infection or impregnation, should be encouraged to report the assault to the police. This would also relieve the staff from trying to ‘second guess’ the police response or themselves trying to assess the patient’s credibility. An appropriate adult needs to be present for all interviews with those who are mentally disordered (Home Office, 1995). A patient’s keyworker or social worker may do this. It is preferable that such workers have received appropriate training. The new Patient Advocacy and Liaison Service, due later this year, may be prepared to take on this role.

Clinical consequences of inconsistency

The local trust policy on sexual assault states that the system in place should acknowledge the validity of the woman’s experience. The use of increasing observations (although possibly intended as protective), coupled with increasing antipsychotic medication, is a particularly
In 1995, City and Hackney Community NHS Trust opened a segregated ward for women with severe mental illness who would be vulnerable on a mixed-gender ward (Kohen, 1999). The privacy and safety provided by the unit and the positive response from families and the community makes the case for more single-gender wards. It is a pilot project that may be repeated by other trusts. Meanwhile, both genders in mixed-gender wards should be cared for by staff who are vigilant for patients’ safety and trained to avert assault proactively. Specific staff training upon recruitment, repeated at regular intervals, should lead staff to be confident about dealing with any assaults, and also ensure that the trust has information available to help prevent repetitions.
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THE OUTPOURING OF PRAISE FOR THE LIFE AND WORK OF THIS REMARKABLE MAN, who died this year, has been so fulsome that one might reasonably believe that the catalogue of his virtues had been exhausted. I would venture to suggest that two seemingly disparate virtues have been omitted or underplayed. I refer to his musicianship and his compassion. May I describe one occasion when these two virtues co-existed?

For many years before I retired in 1975, I was a consultant at Horton Hospital, Epsom, (now defunct) designed then for the treatment of most of the range of psychiatric disorders. The patients were of both genders, of all adult ages, of a variety of ethnic and religious groups and, because of the geographical distribution of our catchment area in London, there was an over-representation of refugees from Nazi Germany. It was, in effect, a strange microcosm characterised by one common factor – the unpredictability of its individual and collective behaviour.

Of the several forms of auxiliary treatments we had on offer at the hospital, the one which was a particular source of pride was music therapy. At the core of this most successful project, as it happens, was Sir Ernst’s sister, Dea (Lady Forsdyke), a distinguished violinist and an inspired teacher-cum-therapist. It further happens that Sir Ernst’s wife, Ilse (Lady Gombrich) was an eminent professional pianist and piano coach. The third limb of an outstanding family trio was no other than Sir Ernst, himself a talented cellist. This unique ensemble played for their own delectation when time and occasion arose and, on very few occasions, for the delectation of others.

One of the major attractions of the music therapy project was to hold monthly concerts featuring members of the group for the entertainment of other patients at the hospital, any interested members of staff, and a surprisingly large number of patients’ relatives, who came mainly from London. All were welcome, and considering the limited number of available performers and the nature and degree of their mental ailments, our visitors seemed well pleased with the musical fare on offer. Even so, from time to time, as a bonus, the group and its attendant audience shared the pleasure of being entertained by professional musicians. I should explain that, at that time, I had the good fortune to enjoy the friendship of a number of professional musicians who, at my invitation, would play for us as a gesture of goodwill. The majority would waive their fee or agree a substantial reduction, or ask only for their expenses.

Working closely as I did with the group, I got to know Dea Forsdyke very well and, in the course of time,
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