A standard liaison psychiatry service structure?
A study of the liaison psychiatry services within six strategic health authorities

AIMS AND METHOD
We surveyed all psychiatric services in the six northeast strategic health authorities to determine how the provision of liaison psychiatry services related to College recommendations and the size of the general hospital trusts served.

RESULTS
Thirty-six (100%) services provided usable data, 8% of general hospital trusts had no liaison psychiatry service, 41% of teams were not multidisciplinary with their only staff being nurses, only 38% of services had dedicated consultant psychiatry time and only a quarter met the recommended minimum standard of 0.5 whole-time equivalents. No teams contained clinical psychologists. Disorder-specific out-patient clinic provision was idiosyncratic.

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS
There is a lack of rational planning of liaison psychiatry services and as a result, many services are not needs-based and do not comply with College recommendations. One indication of this is the lack of multidisciplinary teams.

Methods
We contacted all acute National Health Service (NHS) trusts in the northeast of England by telephone to obtain contact details for their liaison psychiatry service(s). We then sent a postal questionnaire to liaison psychiatry practitioners working within all teams in the northeast of England. Copies of the questionnaire were sent to two members of each team (unless it was a one-person team) (n=70). We sent a second copy of the questionnaire to non-respondents after 6 weeks and followed up with a telephone reminder if it was not returned subsequently.

Area
The region covered was defined by the strategic health authority boundaries (Trent, South Yorkshire, West Yorkshire, North and East Yorkshire and Northern Lincolnshire, County Durham, and Tees Valley and Northumberland Tyne and Wear) (Fig. 1).

Questionnaire
The questionnaire sought information in the following areas:

- Team members and recent changes
- Supervision arrangements
- Nature of services (clinics, day patient facilities, helplines, etc.)
- Estimates of workload
- Main problems referred, including ages covered

'Number of hospital beds’ in the general hospital NHS trust within which the liaison psychiatry service operated was used as an indicator of need (Department of Health, 2002).
Analysis

The quantitative response items are presented using descriptive statistics. Qualitative items were analysed using thematic analysis to be able to group responses. The qualitative items were analysed by two independent people and the results pooled.

Results

100% of general hospital trusts provided usable data; 8% (3/36) had no liaison psychiatry service. The rest of the results refer to the respondent trusts that have a liaison psychiatry service (33 services).

Service provision

Table 1 shows the distribution of liaison psychiatry services provided to the participating trusts. Despite the fact that only 24% of services provided disorder-specific out-patient clinics, these covered over 10 medical subspecialities. All services that responded provide a service for working age adults and 69% provide some services to over-65s. Sixty-one per cent of services said their referral rate had increased and 55% had increased their capacity over the past 3 years. Sixty-one per cent of services did not have their office on general hospital property and 38% of services had no current arrangements for supervision from a consultant psychiatrist.

Personnel

Forty-one per cent of services were not multidisciplinary, with their only staff being nurses. No service contained a clinical psychologist. Only 38% of services had designated consultant psychiatry time (25% met the recommended minimum of 0.5 whole-time equivalents). Fifty-five percent had no administrative support. We calculated work rate by identifying the number of whole-time equivalent clinical staff (any discipline) in each service and the number of beds in the trust served by those staff. Figure 2 shows the number of general hospital beds for one whole-time equivalent member of the liaison psychiatry team in the trusts surveyed.

Discussion

Our study gives a complete picture of liaison psychiatry service provision in the six northeast strategic health authorities surveyed. We do not know whether it is typical of England as a whole, but suspect that it is.

Figure 1 Map of the liaison psychiatry services surveyed in the six strategic health authorities
How does liaison psychiatry service provision relate to College recommendations?

In the past decade, there have been two College reports recommending changes to liaison psychiatry service provision (Royal College of Psychiatrists & British Association of Accident and Emergency Medicine, 1996; Royal College of Physicians & Royal College of Psychiatrists, 2003). Despite these reports, the findings of this survey highlight similar problems to those found by a survey of liaison psychiatry services in 1990 (Mayou et al., 1990). There are still general hospital NHS trusts that do not have a liaison psychiatry service, although lack of provision is less of a problem in the northeast compared with the southwest (Howe et al., 2003). Current liaison psychiatry services are not multidisciplinary. Most have nursing staff, none contain integrated clinical psychologists, many lack administrative support and only a quarter have the recommended minimum consultant psychiatrist input. This could indicate that the functions a multidisciplinary team has in assessment, diagnosis, supervision and interventions in this client group are undervalued. It also highlights that the specialist skills a consultant liaison psychiatrist offers in terms of leadership, diagnosis, medical interventions and liaison with consultants from other specialties are poorly understood (Royal College of Physicians & Royal College of Psychiatrists, 2003).

Finally, only a third of services surveyed have their base within general hospital grounds. This could reflect the status of liaison psychiatry within the general hospital and distance will also affect patients' waiting times for an assessment.

How does liaison psychiatry service provision relate to need?

It is clear that there is a wide variation in the number of general hospital beds per liaison psychiatry practitioner. This survey shows that 14% of general hospital NHS trusts do not even receive a specialist self-harm service. Sixty-nine per cent of working age liaison psychiatry services provide a service to over-65s. This supports the results of a recent survey of consultant old age psychiatrists that found 71% of respondents felt the current liaison psychiatry service they provided was poor and required improvement (Holmes, 2002). This gap in provision is being covered by already understaffed services.

It is unclear from this survey whether the idiosyncratic provision of liaison psychiatry-specific outpatient clinics relate to differing general hospital NHS trust needs or represent an unacceptable variation in service provision (Secretary of State for Health, 1998). This would be an interesting area for further investigation.

Clinical implications

Many government initiatives are driven by the fact that variations in services across the country are unacceptable, and result in poor quality of care and inequality of service provision (Secretary of State for Health, 1998). Unfortunately this is the case for liaison psychiatry, and patients of different general hospital NHS trusts will receive vastly different services for their psychiatric needs according to the structure of the liaison psychiatry service provided. This lack of rational planning of liaison psychiatry services means that many services are not needs-based and do not comply with College recommendations. Key areas that need to be addressed are the lack of multidisciplinary liaison services and inadequate consultant liaison psychiatry input.
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