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**Good Psychiatric Practice: Confidentiality and Information Sharing**

Council Report CR133, March 2006, Royal College of Psychiatrists, £10.00, 48 pp

The central purpose of this report is to provide members with guidance on good practice in patient information privacy. This includes guidance on information sharing and on decisions about disclosure. It provides an in-depth development of the outline guidance given in Good Psychiatric Practice (CR125, Royal College of Psychiatrists, 2004).

In the interval since the first issue of these guidelines in 2000 there have been a number of changes in health service organisation, clinical practice and public expectations, as well as a general trend to augment the duty to disclose and to reduce professional privilege. Particular consideration has been given to the special issues surrounding the sensitivity of mental health information, the impact of changes in health service organisation, developments within practice (e.g. multi-disciplinary and multi-agency working) and the impact of new technologies (e.g. electronic communication and computerised information systems).

The focus is on practical guidance relevant to a variety of situations and issues throughout the National Health Service and independent sector that confront psychiatrists and other members of multi-disciplinary teams. Confidentiality is both an ethical and a legal issue and the approach adopted has been detailed in consideration of the ethical principles and legal framework that inform good practice.

The following topics are covered:

- Keeping patients and carers informed, including information sharing to provide healthcare.
- Information sharing between users and carers.
- Multidisciplinary teams and inter-agency working.
- Disclosure, including where there is a legal requirement to disclose, and where decisions are matters of professional judgement.
- Requests for case notes, providing reports.

- Media requests and video-recording.
- Secondary uses of patient information, including research.

**Services for Younger People with Alzheimer’s Disease and Other Dementias**

Council Report CR135, March 2006, Royal College of Psychiatrists and Alzheimer’s Society, £10.00, 32 pp

Younger people with dementia and their carers frequently fall through the net of the health and social care services. During the 1990s an increasing number of these patients were referred to old age psychiatry services. In response to this new pattern, in 2000 the Royal College of Psychiatrists’ Faculty of Old Age Psychiatry, in conjunction with the Alzheimer’s Society, published a policy paper outlining the configuration of services for younger patients with dementia. The document was well received. In 2002 a review showed that a
start had been made in implementing its recommendations but that no health area had put all its recommendations into practice.

The authors of the present report are encouraged by what has been achieved and remain convinced of the importance of the original document’s two key recommendations. An incremental approach is advocated, with the appointment of two key players: at the commissioning level (primary care trusts or their equivalent), a named person responsible for planning, and a consultant clinician to act as a focus for referrals, initially with two programmed activities or sessional equivalents. An old age psychiatrist is well-placed to undertake the clinical role.

After these appointments have been made, the rudiments of the local service are created. At all stages, coordination and networking with people already involved with younger people with dementia is important; the composition and evolution of the new service will depend on existing local services and facilities.

Both organisations remain committed to these principles and consider that it is timely to publish this second edition of the policy paper so that the momentum will be maintained. In this way this disadvantaged group of patients will have a modern dedicated service to meet their special needs.

Safety for Psychiatrists

Council Report CR134, January 2006, Royal College of Psychiatrists, £7.50, 32 pp

Despite many investigations and inquiries into violent incidents in mental health settings, safety considerations for mental health staff continue to be an issue of serious concern.

Aggression and violence are often preventable. Prevention relies heavily on the awareness and skills of mental health clinicians working in organisations that are supportive and that help professionals to develop practical skills in a safe physical environment. However, there are still serious gaps.

This report replaces Safety for Trainees in Psychiatry (CR78), published in 1999.

The scope of the report has been broadened to include all practising psychiatrists. The working party has reviewed the safety literature published since the last report, and consulted widely with other organisations which have similar concerns.

The report focuses on those measures which can reduce the chances of assaults taking place, or of serious injury being sustained should they occur. Trusts and other employing organisations already have statutory obligations to ensure that the environment in which mental health professionals work is safe and secure, and this report does not dwell on this area, other than to point out where psychiatrists still notice deficiencies.

Brief vignettes exemplify situations which are likely to give rise to safety considerations in various settings, and advice is given on prevention and ways to reduce escalation of risk.

Recommendations:

1. Although junior psychiatrists are now more likely to receive training in the recognition and prevention of violence, and in using de-escalation strategies and breakaway techniques, there is less evidence to suggest this is happening with senior psychiatrists. This should take place early on in the post, with regular refreshers.

2. Senior clinicians have a central role in preventing seriously aggressive behaviour in their patients. They not only have experience of assessing the risks posed by patients, but they can also advise their colleagues on how to manage such risks. Early detection of possible eruption of violence in an in-patient setting can be enhanced by a close working relationship with nurses, ability to read and take action when difficult atmospheres exist, and more active participation of psychiatrists in therapeutic activities.

3. Psychiatrists need to be aware of the potential for aggressive behaviour which might emanate as a direct result of their intervention, particularly where there exists an atmosphere of perceived confrontation, such as assessments under the Mental Health Act 1983, meetings with advocates, tribunal hearings, or where they are unrealistically expected to resolve problems outside their brief, skills or capacity.

4. Recognition of issues that require sensitive handling, in particular regarding racial or gender issues, and the careful use of language in heated interchange, can help prevent violent outbursts. The judicious use of interpreters can also calm distressed patients who are unable to communicate in English.

5. In out-patient settings, accident and emergency departments and prison environments robust safety measures need to be in place, such as adequate and well functioning alarm systems, clear exit facilities, and arrangements for support by other staff in cases of emergency. This procedure should be checked regularly. Recognition of the anxiety experienced by patients when coming to see a psychiatrist, or waiting to be seen, can do much to reduce tension and enhance the quality of the interview.

6. For psychiatrists working in the community it is important to gather as much information as possible before the visit about the patient, the family, and the environment where the visit will take place in order to take adequate preventative measures. In particular psychiatrists should carry a means of communication and ensure that the base is aware of the time of the appointment, and what to do if the psychiatrist fails to return to base within an agreed time. It is recommended that psychiatrists should not visit private dwellings alone if they are not sure about the circumstances, and never at night.

7. As far as Mental Health Act Assessments in the community are concerned, a pre-visit conference with all parties concerned, including the family, police and social workers, is strongly recommended in order to plan the intervention and take appropriate measures.

8. For those working with families and carers, in particular in old age and child and adolescent psychiatry, it is important to bear in mind that members of the family can also become highly agitated, aggressive and violent on behalf of their ill relative.

9. Psychiatrists should receive specific training on the assessment, management and risk assessments of patients with dual diagnoses.

10. The working party has endorsed the recommendations of the earlier College report on Safety for Trainees (CR78), many of which apply to all psychiatrists. In particular it wishes to highlight the importance of induction courses which take into account local safety considerations, matters of personal privacy, behaviour and appearance, and clear guidelines on what must be done following a violent incident.

11. A jointly agreed and understood protocol for the reporting of untoward incidents should be in place in each workplace. This will only work if the culture allows staff to feel comfortable about reporting incidents without prejudice. Reporting incidents should be linked to a structure that allows learning to take place, and adaptation of practices as a result of incidents. Clinical governance principles should be followed, with regular audits of violent incidents, and effective measures introduced resulting from their recommendations.

12. In cases of serious assault, the matter should be reported to the police, who jointly with the trust should consider pressing charges against the perpetrator. In less serious incidents, a judge must be involved with the legal advice of the trust, as well as the medical defence society.
Pre-Membership Psychiatric Trainee

The College has established a new grade known as ‘Pre-Membership Psychiatric Trainee’ for those in psychiatric training who have not yet passed the MRCPsych examination; this will replace the Inceptor grade following the Annual General Meeting on 11 July 2006. The application process will be much simpler and quicker than has been the case for Inceptors. The package will be attractive and useful and will include the trainee logbook, the Basic Specialist Training Handbook, the examinations curriculum, titles from the Good Psychiatric Practice series and reading lists. Pre-Membership psychiatric trainees will receive discounted rates for conferences, the British Journal of Psychiatry and the Psychiatric Bulletin. They will also be entitled to subscribe to Advances in Psychiatric Treatment at the members’ rate. There will be a dedicated annual meeting for new senior house officers in psychiatry for this grade only.

We hope to contact all new trainees in psychiatry as soon as they commence specialist training. Flyers and application forms will be sent to College tutors, with the request that they ensure that all new trainees receive a copy of each.

Trainees will be invited to apply either online or by post, indicating the name of the training scheme. There will be no need for the tutor or consultant to countersign the application.

Once received, provided the trainee is registered with the General Medical Council (or the Medical Council in Ireland in the case of trainees in Ireland) and on an approved training programme, the application will be approved and a pack sent out.

obituaries

Formerly Consultant Psychiatrist at the Westminster Hospital, London

Peter Dally died on 25 June 2005, aged 82, in St Richard’s Hospital, Chichester. For many years he was a senior consultant psychiatrist at the Westminster Hospital, where he worked as a general psychiatrist but developed one of the earliest specialist services for the treatment of anorexia nervosa. In 1996, 2 years after his retirement, The Riverside Mental Health Trust established the Peter Dally Clinic for Eating Disorders in recognition of his research and treatment initiatives in anorexia nervosa.

Peter’s formative years of training in psychiatry were spent with William (Will) Sargant, arguably the best known, if controversial, psychiatrist of the time. Their first joint article on the treatment of anorexia nervosa was published in the British Medical Journal in 1960. The treatment relied heavily on the administration of drugs (chlorpromazine and modified insulin) and exerted great influence on clinicians. Previously patients with anorexia nervosa had been treated mainly by general physicians and the article was a signal to psychiatrists to venture into this area of therapeutics.

The 1960 paper appeared at a time when views about treatment in psychiatry tended to be polarised between psychotherapy and physical treatments, including drugs and electroconvulsive therapy – Sargant was the chief protagonist of the latter approach. Peter’s own views about the treatment of anorexia nervosa evolved gradually along broader lines. Their second joint publication in the British Medical Journal suggested that insulin should be dropped from the treatment (Dally & Sargant, 1966). Peter remained an expert in the use of psychotropic drugs but was soon heard to assert that ‘every patient needs psychotherapy’.

Peter’s views on chlorpromazine and insulin in anorexia nervosa, as well as psychotherapeutic programmes, were well presented in his book on anorexia nervosa, co-authored with Joan Gomez and A. J. Isaacs, which encapsulates the state of knowledge of eating disorders, in 1979. By then he had dismissed insulin therapy as lacking a logical approach, and he warned clinicians that its use in emaciated patients was particularly dangerous because of the risk of hypoglycaemia. He reported that chlorpromazine had been given routinely to all his patients until the early 1970s but it was not helpful in out-patients. From 1972 less than one-third of the patients admitted to his unit were administered chlorpromazine, and then largely to reduce anxiety and restlessness when faced with large meals as part of the weight gain programme. He had developed a robust treatment within the setting of the psychiatric unit. He avoided a comparison of this programme with behaviour modification but it clearly included the gain of privileges as weight increased. He fully acknowledged the role played by the nursing staff in his unit, who were urged to use their powers of persuasion and unending patience. If the nursing team did not succeed in getting a patient to eat, he would often sit with the patient at meal times until she finished the food placed before her.

Peter’s research was essentially based on astute clinical observations. He recognised that although anorexia nervosa is mainly an illness in young people, another form can develop in those over 50. This he called ‘anorexia tardive’. One form closely resembled the commoner early-onset type of anorexia nervosa but another form differed, with the patient being inactive and spending much of the day in bed. These older patients were unusually difficult to treat and the anorexia tardive may be a true suicidal gesture. Although they were often very depressed, antidepressant treatment was remarkably unhelpful. Peter advised sticking to the usual nursing techniques of persuasion and cajolery, and mobilising what remained of the family to cooperate with a refeeding programme.

As a psychiatrist, Peter was a man whose powerful presence was immediately felt as he entered the room. He captured the attention of patients, students and colleagues. His patients adored him. He was attractive to women in spite of, or because of, his lameness, a sequel of an attack of poliomyelitis in 1955. Much of his appeal came from his capacity to listen and his natural kindness towards anyone who needed his support. While teaching medical students at the Westminster Hospital, he succeeded in recruiting students into psychiatry.

To understand Peter’s personality one should recount some of his life experiences both before and since becoming a psychiatrist. He was born in London on 2 January 1923, a son of a naval captain and dental surgeon. Anne Norwich, his long-term companion in later years, wrote that he was the second son of parents who...
Good Psychiatric Practice: Confidentiality and Information Sharing

Access the most recent version at DOI: 10.1192/pb.30.5.195-a

References
This article cites 0 articles, 0 of which you can access for free at: http://pb.rcpsych.org/content/30/5/195.2#BIBL

Reprints/permissions
To obtain reprints or permission to reproduce material from this paper, please write to permissions@rcpsych.ac.uk

You can respond to this article at /letters/submit/pbrcpsych;30/5/195-a

Downloaded from http://pb.rcpsych.org/ on September 7, 2017
Published by The Royal College of Psychiatrists

To subscribe to BJPsych Bulletin go to: http://pb.rcpsych.org/site/subscriptions/